

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman JIM IRVIN Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2000 NOV 27 A 9: 30

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

NOV 2 7 2000

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DANCRIS TELECOM, LLC, FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE INTRASTATE TOLL TELECOMMUNICATIONS) SERVICES AS A RESELLER, EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-03296A-96-0590

STAFF'S FAIR VALUE RATE BASE COMMENTS

On November 3, 2000, DanCris Communications Corp. ("DanCris") filed a response to the September 27, 2000 Procedural Order's requirement that DanCris file Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") information in support of its application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N). DanCris is currently providing service in Arizona. The September 27, 2000 Procedural Order ordered the Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") to file disagreements with the proposed FVRB and/or rates and charges within 60 days of the date of the Procedural Order. Staff hereby files its disagreements with DanCris's November 3, 2000 filing.

Staff's Substantive Comments.

DanCris's response to the ordered FVRB information provides insufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding in this case. At a minimum, Staff requires the following three items of information of DanCris in order to make a FVRB recommendation. First, a dollar figure representing DanCris's rate base is necessary for a FVRB analysis. This dollar figure should include all assets DanCris will use to provide the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service, and can include office space, office equipment, company vehicles, and other like items. Second, a FVRB analysis requires that

The September 27, 2000 Procedural Order also ordered Staff to review the FVRB information filed and ascertain that DanCris is utilizing the appropriate amount of depreciation and capital carrying costs in determining its total service long-run incremental costs. The information filed by DanCris on November 3, 2000 was not sufficient to allow Staff to so ascertain.

DanCris provide an estimate of its maximum revenues to be received in exchange for providing the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service, assuming the maximum rates as filed in the application. Third, a FVRB analysis requires that DanCris provide an estimate of its maximum expenses incurred in providing the proposed telecommunications services to its Arizona customers for the first twelve months of service,

The September 27, 2000 Procedural Order referenced the Opinion of the Arizona Court of Appeals, Division One in Cause No. 1 CA-CV 98-0672 ("Opinion"). Since the issuance of that Opinion and the Procedural Order, several parties to that case have filed petitions for review of the Opinion to the Arizona Supreme Court, including the Commission, Electric Lightwave, Inc., AT&T, Sprint Communications, MFS Intelnet, and Cox Arizona Telcom.

Staff's Procedural Comments.

assuming the maximum rates as filed in the application.

Staff believes that in light of the current appeal status of the Opinion, that DanCris should have the choice of the following two procedural options in proceeding with its CC&N application.

Alternative #1:

Staff recommends that if DanCris wishes to have permanent rates set in this proceeding, that it be ordered to file the three above-described FVRB information items within 30 days of the date of any Commission order granting the requested CC&N. If there are any disagreements with any FVRB information DanCris files, the Order granting DanCris's CC&N should be stayed pending resolution of those disagreements.

Alternative #2:

If DanCris desires to proceed with its CC&N application without providing FVRB information at this time, Staff believes that any tariffs filed in this matter should be reviewed and approved on an interim basis. If a CC&N is conditionally granted and tariffs are authorized on an interim basis, DanCris should be required to file the three FVRB items with the Commission within thirty days of any final court mandate on the Fair Value requirement, and failure to file the information should result in the expiration of the conditional CC&N as well as expiration of any approval to charge its tariffs on an interim basis. If there are any disagreements with any FVRB

1	information DanCris files, the Order granting DanCris's CC&N should be stayed pending resolution	
2	of those disagreements.	+h
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTE	ED this 27 day of November, 2000.
4		
5		By: Jaret C. Garec
6		Arizona Corporation Commission Attorney, Legal Division
7		1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8		(602) 542-3402
9 10	The original and fifteen copies of the foregoing filed this 27 ^h -day of November, 2000, with:	
11 12 13	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
14	Copy of the foregoing was mailed this 27th day of November, 2000 to:	
15 16 17	Mickey Rao DanCris Telecom, L.L.C. 6900 East Camelback Road Suite 1003 Scottsdale, Arizona 82251	
18 19 20	Carey Roesel Technologies Management, Inc. P.O. Drawer 200 Winter Park, FL 32790-0200	
21		
22	Dr. 3.00_	
23		
24		